

From: [REDACTED]
To: [economicregulation](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: Heathrow third runway expansion
Date: 11 October 2019 14:20:23

Dear Sir/Madam,

I understand that if certain emission targets are not met - which I understand hinge on a mass electrification of cars (which is unlikely) - then there is the every real chance that when built - THE RUNWAY WILL NOT ALLOWED TO BE USED! Making it a very big and costly White Elephant.

Financing the construction of a third runway would almost double the size of Heathrow's £15.8bn asset base. This would also require stretching its balance sheet further, taking the leverage ratio up to 93%.

By way of comparison, the highly geared utility Thames Water has ratio of 81.5%, and is under pressure to reduce it.

It will also displace citizens of 3 villages, and IF the runway is used, cause increase noise and emissions pollution to the Hounslow area.

A better alternative is London Britannia - which will allow 6 runways, 24 hour operation and no displacement of citizens or extra pollution.

Yours sincerely

Nigel Godfrey

[REDACTED]